A model for selective segregation of a target instrument sound from the mixed sound of various instruments
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Abstract

This paper proposes a selective sound segregation model for separating target musical instrument sound from the mixed sound of various musical instruments. The model consists of two blocks: a model of segregating two acoustic sources based on auditory scene analysis as bottom-up processing, and a selective processing based on knowledge sources as top-down processing. Two simulations were carried out to evaluate the proposed model. Results showed that the model could selectively segregate not only the target instrument sound, but also the target performance sound, from the mixed sound of various instruments. This model, therefore, can also be adapted to computationally model the mechanisms of a human’s selective hearing system.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the problem of selective sound segregation (Fig. 1). Here, the sound of three musical performances, independently played by flute, piano, and violin, are mixed together. When we try to listen separately to the target sound (e.g., the piano sound) from among the mixed sound, we can easily selectively segregate the target sound if we know what the target is and have previously listened to it. In general, this type of situation arises from what is called the “cocktail party effect” [3] and is an important issue not only with regard to automatic music description systems, but also regarding various types of signal processing such as that of hearing aid systems and robust speech-recognition systems. In practice, though, it is difficult to construct a computational model that can process signals in this way, because the signals exist in a concurrent time-frequency region and this problem is an ill-inverse problem. Therefore, we need to use reasonable constraints to solve the problem.

Recently, sound segregation models based on “computational auditory scene analysis (CASA)” have been proposed to solve the above problem by using Bregman’s regularities [2]. In particular, in the case of musical sound, CASA are called “music scene analysis” [6], and models have been proposed for extracting significant information (musical sequences, rhythm, etc.) regarding a target sound from a mixed sound and to understand the target [4, 5, 6]. The underlying concept of these models is to computationally model the ability of the auditory system as a function of active scene analysis [2]. There are two main types of segregation models, based on either bottom-up (e.g., [7]) or top-down (e.g., [4, 6]) processes.

To realize a selective sound segregation model as shown in Fig. 1, we have to resolve two issues: (1) how to precisely select the target sound within a real environment, and (2) how to completely separate the target sound from the mixed sound in which overlapped components exist in a concurrent time-frequency region. However, since bottom-up and top-down processes focus only on either issue (1) or (2), respectively, each alone cannot be used to realize a selective sound segregation model. This paper proposes a model concept for selectively segregating a target instrument sound from a mixture of various sounds by combining top-down and bottom-up processing.

2. Selective sound segregation model

The proposed selective segregation model is shown in Fig. 2. This model is based on the two types of processing: top-down processing to select the position of the target sound in the mixed sound (to resolve (1), as shown by the dashed-line in Fig.2), and bottom-up processing to separate the target from the other sounds in the concurrent time-frequency region (to resolve (2), the dotted line in Fig. 2). The bottom-up processing is the same method proposed [7], but it has been modified so that it can be combined with top-down processing.

2.1. Model concept and definition

In this model, the original signals (f_1(t), f_2(t), f_3(t), and so on) are not known, nor it is known how many different sounds there are. The only model inputs are the observed mixed signal f(t) and a knowledge key such as the symbol for the target instrument name (here this is f_1(t)). To deal with top-down information, we assume that the exact target sound can exist
in anywhere in the mixed sound, and knowledge about the target sound can be represented through the acoustical features. Thus, the key enables the model to obtain information regarding the acoustical features of the target sound from the knowledge sources.

This model concept is based on the problems associated with segregating two acoustic sources. This fundamental problem is defined as follows [7].

First, only the mixed signal \( f(t) \), where \( f(t) = f_1(t) + f_2(t) \), can be observed and \( f(t) \) is, then, decomposed into its frequency components by a \( K \)-channel filterbank. The output of the \( k \)-th channel \( X_k(t) \) is represented by

\[
X_k(t) = S_k(t) \exp(j\omega_k t + j\phi_k(t)), \quad (1)
\]

where \( S_k(t) \) and \( \phi_k(t) \) are the instantaneous amplitude and phase, respectively. If \( f(t) \) is the target sound, then the outputs of the \( k \)-th channel, \( X_k(t) \), which correspond to \( f_1(t) \) and \( f_2(t) \), are assumed to be \( A_k(t) \) and \( B_k(t) \). Then, the instantaneous amplitudes \( A_k(t) \) and \( B_k(t) \) can be determined as

\[
A_k(t) = S_k(t) \sin(\theta_k(t) - \phi_k(t))/\sin(\theta_k(t)), \quad (2)
\]

\[
B_k(t) = S_k(t) \sin(\phi_k(t) - \theta_k(t))/\sin(\theta_k(t)), \quad (3)
\]

where \( \theta_k(t) = \theta_{2k}(t) - \theta_{1k}(t) \). The problem, therefore, is the ill-inverse problem. To solve this problem, we previously proposed a basic model that uses constraints related to the four Bregman’s regularities [7], as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regularity (Bregman, 1993)</th>
<th>Constraint (Unoki, 1999)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) common onset/offset</td>
<td>(</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) gradualness of change</td>
<td>(</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) harmonicity</td>
<td>( dA_k(t)/dt = C_{\text{har}}(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) common AM</td>
<td>( dB_k(t)/dt = D_{\text{har}}(t) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2. Model implementation

The basic problem given above is for two-sound segregation. Thus, in this paper, the problem is set so that \( f_1(t) \) is the target sound selected by top-down processing and \( f_2(t) \) is the other mixed sound (i.e., \( f_2(t) + f_3(t) + \cdots + f_N(t) \)). The problem is then solved using the solution based on the Auditory Scene Analysis [7].

The proposed model is implemented in six blocks: the filterbank, F-note estimation, template generation, event detection, separation block, and grouping block (Fig. 2).

This filterbank decomposes the observed signal \( f(t) \) into complex spectra \( X_k(t) \). It is designed as a constant narrow-bandwidth filterbank with \( K = 500 \), a 20-Hz bandwidth, a FIR-type bandpass filter, and a 20-KHz sampling frequency. The fundamental frequency of the musical instrument sound obtained by analyzing all of the sounds as was done in [6].

The F-note estimation block determines the candidates for the fundamental frequency of the musical instrument sound obtaining some peaks in the auto-correlation function in terms of the frequency region at each time of \( S_k(t) \). The histograms for each candidate are then calculated according to the time axes in the time-frequency region. Some of the candidates with higher histogram values are passed to the event-detection block and the final estimated F-note, \( F_0(t) \), is determined in this block. In this paper, \( F_0(t) \) fluctuates in steps, and the temporal differentiation of \( F_0(t) \) is zero in all segments. As a result, this paper assumes that \( E_{0,R}(t) = 0 \) in Table 1 (ii) for each segment. Most of the segments correspond to each F-note duration in the target instrument sound.

The template generator produces an acoustical template from the knowledge sources, depending on the target sound symbol. The generated template is composed of the shape of the instantaneous amplitude in the time-frequency region, based on the fundamental frequency, duration, and general acoustical feature of the musical instrument sound. The shapes of the standard template for flute, piano, and violin are shown in Fig. 3. In this paper, templates were obtained from the averaged instantaneous amplitude of the target under various conditions (normalized duration and normalized harmonicity etc.). This can be extended by analyzing all of the sounds as was done in [6].

The event detection block uses a template of the target to determine the concurrent time-frequency region of the target sound selected by top-down processing and \( f_2(t) \) is the other mixed sound (i.e., \( f_2(t) + f_3(t) + \cdots + f_N(t) \)).
sound. In this block, the F-note is selected from the candidates of F-note \( f_0(t) \) while this block searches whether the extracted amplitude based on the harmony of each candidate of F-note matches the template based on the correlations. This corresponds to constraint (iii). The estimated event of the target can then be obtained from a candidate with the highest correlation. The onset and offset of the target instrument sound, \( T_{\text{on}} \) and \( T_{\text{off}} \), are determined from the estimated instantaneous amplitude based on the harmony of the selected fundamental frequency. This corresponds to constraint (i).

The separation block determines \( A_k(t) \), \( B_k(t) \), \( \theta_1k(t) \), and \( \theta_2k(t) \) from \( S_k(t) \) and \( \phi_k(t) \) using constraints (ii) and (iv) in the determined concurrent time-frequency region. Constraint (ii) is implemented such that \( C_k(t) = D_k(t) \) and \( D_k(t) \) are linear \((R = 1)\) polynomials, in order to reduce the computational cost of estimating \( C_k(t) \) and \( D_k(t) \). In this assumption, \( A_k(t) \) and \( \theta_1k(t) \), which can be allowed to undergo a temporal change in region, conform the second-order polynomials \((A_k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{R} C_{k,n} t^n + C_{k,0})\) and \( \theta_1k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{R} D_{k,n} t^n + D_{k,0} \). Then, by substituting \( \theta_1k(t) + D_{k,0} \) into Eq. (2), we end up with the linear differential equation of the input phase difference \( \theta(t) = \phi(t) - \theta(t) \). By solving this equation, a general solution is determined by

\[
\theta(t) = \arctan \left( \frac{S_k(t) \sin(\phi(t) - \theta(t))}{S_k(t) \cos(\phi(t) - \theta(t)) + C_k(t)} \right),
\]

where \( C_k(t) = -\int C_k(t) dt - C_{k,0} = A_k(t) \).

In the segment \( T_{\text{on}} - T_{\text{on}} \), of each instrument duration which can be determined by \( E_0(t) = 0 \), \( A_k(t) \), \( B_k(t) \), \( \theta_1k(t) \), and \( \theta_2k(t) \) are determined through the following steps. First, the estimated regions, \( \hat{C}_{k,0}(t) - P_k(t) \leq \hat{C}_{k,1}(t) \leq \hat{C}_{k,0}(t) + P_k(t) \) and \( \hat{D}_{k,0}(t) - Q_k(t) \leq \hat{D}_{k,1}(t) \leq \hat{D}_{k,0}(t) + Q_k(t) \), are determined by using the Kalman filter, where \( \hat{C}_{k,0}(t) \) and \( \hat{D}_{k,0}(t) \) are the estimated values and \( P_k(t) \) and \( Q_k(t) \) are the estimated errors. Next, the candidates of \( \hat{C}_{k,1}(t) \) at any \( D_{k,1}(t) \) are selected by using spline interpolation in the estimated error region. Then, \( \hat{C}_{k,1}(t) \) is determined by using

\[
\hat{C}_{k,1} = \arg \max_{c_{k,\alpha} - P_k \leq c_{k,\alpha} \leq c_{k,\alpha} + P_k} \left\langle \hat{A}_{k,\alpha} \cdot A_{\text{TMP,k}} \right\rangle
\]

where \( \hat{A}_{k,\alpha} \) is obtained through spline interpolation and \( A_{\text{TMP,k}} \) is template such as one shown in Fig. 3. Finally, \( D_{k,1}(t) \) is determined by using

\[
\hat{D}_{k,1} = \arg \max_{d_{k,\alpha} - Q_k \leq d_{k,\alpha} \leq d_{k,\alpha} + Q_k} \left\langle \hat{A}_{k,\alpha} \cdot A_{\text{TMP,k}} \right\rangle
\]

The difference between our proposed model and the previous model is that we use a template of \( A_{\text{TMP,k}}(t) \) instead of the averaged \( \hat{A}_k(t) \). These equations mean we can determine a unique solution from among the candidates. Since \( \hat{\theta}_1k(t) \) and \( \hat{\theta}_2k(t) \) are determined from \( \hat{D}_{k,1}(t) \) and \( \hat{C}_{k,1}(t) \), we can determine \( A_k(t) \), \( B_k(t) \), and \( \theta_2k(t) \) from Eq. (2), Eq. (3), and (4), respectively.

The grouping block merges the instantaneous amplitudes \( A_k(t) \) and phases \( \theta_1k(t) \) in the concurrent time-frequency region of the target using constraints (i) and (iii) from Table 1, and then reconstructs them into the segregated signal \( f_1(t) \).

![Figure 4: Segregation accuracy when segregating a piano sound from a mixed sound: (a) SNR and (b) precision.](image-url)
the target sound (“chu-rippu” of the piano sound) from and then the selective-segregated signal symbol (piano) and notes (CDECDE, not including any time information). The template of the target sound (panel E) is reconstructed from the knowledge sources using keys. The segregated amplitude \( A_k(t) \) (panel F) and phase \( \theta_k(t) \) (panel C) are obtained from \( S_k(t) \) and \( \phi_k(t) \) using the constraints and template, and then the selective-segregated signal \( f(t) \) is reconstructed by the grouping block.

In this simulation, the proposed model improved the SNR about 10.6 dB from \( f(t) \). Moreover, the accuracy of the segregated target sound was improved by about 1.5 dB because of the better SNR and by about 2 dB because of the greater precision, compared with top-down processing. In this simulation, it was difficult to selectively segregate the target sound from the mixed sound using bottom-up processing without having some prior information. We have thus shown that our proposed model can be used to selectively segregate the sound of a target musical instrument performance sound from a mix of various sounds such as one resulting from the cocktail party effect.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a selective sound segregation model that combines top-down and bottom-up processing. We carried out two segregation simulations to evaluate the proposed model - one in which a target sound was segregated from a mix of four instrument sounds, and one in which a musical performance sound was segregated from a mix of various sounds. Our results also showed that combining top-down and bottom-up processing is useful for selective sound segregation. The results of our second simulation showed that the proposed model can be applied to a more realistic sound segregation problem, such as the sort of situation that results from the cocktail party effect. The advantages of our proposed model make it applicable to preprocessing for a musical scene analysis system and for replaying of a target sound. This model, therefore, can also be adapted to computationally model the mechanisms of a human’s selective hearing system.

In our future work, we hope to establish a means of constructing a standard template for any instrument sound (e.g., optimization between the template and a real sound), and then we will adapt the model for various musical performance sounds.

5. Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Science Research from the Ministry of Education (No. 14780267).

6. References


